

ACM International Collegiate Programming Contest — Training Session I

C. Maria Keet

Department of Computer Science, University of Cape Town, South Africa
mkeet@cs.uct.ac.za

August 2, 2014

Outline

- 1 Solving problems
- 2 Different types of problems
 - Fitness
 - Similarity
 - Wires
 - Bonus: Panini

Outline

- 1 Solving problems
- 2 Different types of problems
 - Fitness
 - Similarity
 - Wires
 - Bonus: Panini

Approach (1/2)

- Read description
- What is the task?
- What is given?
 - data
 - variables
 - constraint
 - examples
- (cont'd on next page...)

Approach (1/2)

- Read description
- What is the task?
- What is given?
 - data
 - variables
 - constraint
 - examples
- (cont'd on next page...)

Approach (1/2)

- Read description
- What is the task?
- What is given?
 - data
 - variables
 - constraint
 - examples
- (cont'd on next page...)

Approach (2/2)

- Solve the problem
 - ‘essentially solve it’
 - input data space
 - recognise underlying core issues
 - similarity with other problems
 - result: a solution on paper, knowing **what** needs to be done
 - solve it algorithmically (**how** to do it—e.g., a sort, OSPF, complexity, ...)
 - code and test it, i.e., **do** it and verify solution

Approach (2/2)

- Solve the problem
 - ‘essentially solve it’
 - input data space
 - recognise underlying core issues
 - similarity with other problems
 - result: a solution on paper, knowing **what** needs to be done
 - solve it algorithmically (**how** to do it—e.g., a sort, OSPF, complexity, ...)
 - code and test it, i.e., **do** it and verify solution

Approach (2/2)

- Solve the problem
 - ‘essentially solve it’
 - input data space
 - recognise underlying core issues
 - similarity with other problems
 - result: a solution on paper, knowing **what** needs to be done
 - solve it algorithmically (**how** to do it—e.g., a sort, OSPF, complexity, ...)
 - code and test it, i.e., **do** it and verify solution

Approach (2/2)

- Solve the problem
 - ‘essentially solve it’
 - input data space
 - recognise underlying core issues
 - similarity with other problems
 - result: a solution on paper, knowing **what** needs to be done
 - solve it algorithmically (**how** to do it—e.g., a sort, OSPF, complexity, ...)
 - code and test it, i.e., **do** it and verify solution

Approach (2/2)

- Solve the problem
 - ‘essentially solve it’
 - input data space
 - recognise underlying core issues
 - similarity with other problems
 - result: a solution on paper, knowing **what** needs to be done
 - solve it algorithmically (**how** to do it—e.g., a sort, OSPF, complexity, ...)
 - code and test it, i.e., **do** it and verify solution

Types of puzzles

- Can be divided along several dimensions
- Regarding the core problem
 - A. maths-y (e.g., probabilities, geometry)
 - B. algorithmically/general (still an elegant solution)
 - C. seeing patterns, and brute force
- The (im-)balance in the 'what, how, do':
 - a. conceptually hard, but (relatively) easier to implement
 - b. conceptually (relatively) easy, but laborious to implement
 - c. both relatively hard (happens at the finals)
 - d. both relatively easy (at least one puzzle in the regionals)

Types of puzzles

- Can be divided along several dimensions
- Regarding the core problem
 - A. maths-y (e.g., probabilities, geometry)
 - B. algorithmically/general (still an elegant solution)
 - C. seeing patterns, and brute force
- The (im-)balance in the 'what, how, do':
 - a. conceptually hard, but (relatively) easier to implement
 - b. conceptually (relatively) easy, but laborious to implement
 - c. both relatively hard (happens at the finals)
 - d. both relatively easy (at least one puzzle in the regionals)

Types of puzzles

- Can be divided along several dimensions
- Regarding the core problem
 - A. maths-y (e.g., probabilities, geometry)
 - B. algorithmically/general (still an elegant solution)
 - C. seeing patterns, and brute force
- The (im-)balance in the 'what, how, do':
 - a. conceptually hard, but (relatively) easier to implement
 - b. conceptually (relatively) easy, but laborious to implement
 - c. both relatively hard (happens at the finals)
 - d. both relatively easy (at least one puzzle in the regionals)

Team composition (1/2)

- **Your team needs strengths in all three areas (what, how, do) to have a chance to win**
- Your team might be able to get away with maths-y only solving, but more probably with algorithmically, and even better both
- Each member probably won't excel in all three components, but *together* you will
 - What are *you* good at?
 - What are *your team mates* good at?
 - What is your team *together* as a whole good at?

Team composition (1/2)

- **Your team needs strengths in all three areas (what, how, do) to have a chance to win**
- Your team might be able to get away with maths-y only solving, but more probably with algorithmically, and even better both
- Each member probably won't excel in all three components, but *together* you will
- What are *you* good at?
- What are *your team mates* good at?
- What is your team *together* as a whole good at?

Team composition (2/2)

- Who will do what?
- Dividing tasks aided by a *team manager*, who does (at least):
 - Keeps track of who is doing what
 - Makes sure problems are not forgotten
 - Keeps track of time
- pair solving option:
 - Two people work on the same problem
 - One person gets the problem, the other person helps
- pair programming; One person codes, the other ('co-pilot') watches:
 - Understands the solution
 - Finds bugs
 - Finds things that are better
- 'fresh eyes'
 - Someone that you get stuck in a dead end looking for a solution
 - Someone that looks at the problem from a different angle

Team composition (2/2)

- Who will do what?
- Dividing tasks aided by a *team manager*, who does (at least):
 - Keeps track of who is doing what
 - Makes sure problems are not forgotten
 - Keeps track of time
- pair solving option:
 - bouncing off ideas toward solution
 - possibly putting different solved pieces together
- pair programming; One person codes, the other ('co-pilot') watches:
 - helps to understand the solution
 - catches errors
 - helps to think about the problem
- 'fresh eyes'

Team composition (2/2)

- Who will do what?
- Dividing tasks aided by a *team manager*, who does (at least):
 - Keeps track of who is doing what
 - Makes sure problems are not forgotten
 - Keeps track of time
- pair solving option:
 - bouncing off ideas toward solution
 - possibly putting different solved pieces together
- pair programming; One person codes, the other ('co-pilot') watches:
 - Understands the solution
 - Points out bugs
 - Looks things up for pilot
- 'fresh eyes'
 - Sometimes you get stuck in a dead-end looking for a solution
 - the third person looks at the problem 'untarnished'

Team composition (2/2)

- Who will do what?
- Dividing tasks aided by a *team manager*, who does (at least):
 - Keeps track of who is doing what
 - Makes sure problems are not forgotten
 - Keeps track of time
- pair solving option:
 - bouncing off ideas toward solution
 - possibly putting different solved pieces together
- pair programming; One person codes, the other ('co-pilot') watches:
 - Understands the solution
 - Points out bugs
 - Looks things up for pilot
- 'fresh eyes'
 - Sometimes you get stuck in a dead-end looking for a solution
 - the third person looks at the problem 'untarnished'

Team composition (2/2)

- Who will do what?
- Dividing tasks aided by a *team manager*, who does (at least):
 - Keeps track of who is doing what
 - Makes sure problems are not forgotten
 - Keeps track of time
- pair solving option:
 - bouncing off ideas toward solution
 - possibly putting different solved pieces together
- pair programming; One person codes, the other ('co-pilot') watches:
 - Understands the solution
 - Points out bugs
 - Looks things up for pilot
- 'fresh eyes'
 - Sometimes you get stuck in a dead-end looking for a solution
 - the third person looks at the problem 'untarnished'

Team composition (2/2)

- Who will do what?
- Dividing tasks aided by a *team manager*, who does (at least):
 - Keeps track of who is doing what
 - Makes sure problems are not forgotten
 - Keeps track of time
- pair solving option:
 - bouncing off ideas toward solution
 - possibly putting different solved pieces together
- pair programming; One person codes, the other ('co-pilot') watches:
 - Understands the solution
 - Points out bugs
 - Looks things up for pilot
- 'fresh eyes'
 - Sometimes you get stuck in a dead-end looking for a solution
 - the third person looks at the problem 'untarnished'

and finally

- Solve 'the easiest' problem first, i.e.,
 - The one easiest to your team
 - Looking at what others submit first may, or may not, be a good heuristic to choose what's easiest
- Don't submit without doing some testing (recall: time penalty for incorrect submissions!)
- Don't get hung up on one problem for too long, but don't keep jumping between problems without solving any of them either
- There is no harm in last-minute attempts (recall: the number of problems solved is more important than time taken)

and finally

- Solve 'the easiest' problem first, i.e.,
 - The one easiest to your team
 - Looking at what others submit first may, or may not, be a good heuristic to choose what's easiest
- Don't submit without doing some testing (recall: time penalty for incorrect submissions!)
- Don't get hung up on one problem for too long, but don't keep jumping between problems without solving any of them either
- There is no harm in last-minute attempts (recall: the number of problems solved is more important than time taken)

and finally

- Solve 'the easiest' problem first, i.e.,
 - The one easiest to your team
 - Looking at what others submit first may, or may not, be a good heuristic to choose what's easiest
- Don't submit without doing some testing (recall: time penalty for incorrect submissions!)
- Don't get hung up on one problem for too long, but don't keep jumping between problems without solving any of them either
- There is no harm in last-minute attempts (recall: the number of problems solved is more important than time taken)

and finally

- Solve 'the easiest' problem first, i.e.,
 - The one easiest to your team
 - Looking at what others submit first may, or may not, be a good heuristic to choose what's easiest
- Don't submit without doing some testing (recall: time penalty for incorrect submissions!)
- Don't get hung up on one problem for too long, but don't keep jumping between problems without solving any of them either
- There is no harm in last-minute attempts (recall: the number of problems solved is more important than time taken)

Outline

- ① Solving problems
- ② Different types of problems
 - Fitness
 - Similarity
 - Wires
 - Bonus: Panini

Similarity problem

- also a 2013 regionals problem (see printout), hardly anyone solved it
- type: algorithmically, difficulty depends on prior knowledge
- First exercise: understand the problem
 - map the problem space (what is asked for, examples, input space, output, ...)
 - what is needed?

Solution (direction)

- Distance word_A to word_B
- Changes: insert (cost 2), delete (cost 2), replace (just case cost 1, else 2)
- Compare 'words' (strings), letter by letter (but just that would have misalignment issues)
- My association: 1) DNA and RNA alignments, 2) natural languages and computational linguistics
- This was solved a while ago, so there must be an existing algorithm

Solution (direction)

- Distance word_A to word_B
- Changes: insert (cost 2), delete (cost 2), replace (just case cost 1, else 2)
- Compare 'words' (strings), letter by letter (but just that would have misalignment issues)
- My association: 1) DNA and RNA alignments, 2) natural languages and computational linguistics
- This was solved a while ago, so there must be an existing algorithm

Solution (direction)

- Indeed: Levenshtein distance!
 - How exactly does it do that?
 - Modify the algorithm for the costs that deviate from the standard algorithm
 - Implement
 - Test
 - Solved by recognising the problem to be basically the same one that was already solved
- ⇒ know and understand your algorithms

Solution (direction)

- Indeed: Levenshtein distance!
 - How exactly does it do that?
 - Modify the algorithm for the costs that deviate from the standard algorithm
 - Implement
 - Test
 - Solved by recognising the problem to be basically the same one that was already solved
- ⇒ know and understand your algorithms

Levenshtein distance¹

- Measure of the similarity between two strings, being the source string (s) and the target string (t)
- Distance is the number of deletions, insertions, or substitutions required to transform s into t
- Named after the Russian scientist Vladimir Levenshtein, who devised the algorithm in 1965, used in, a.o.:
 - Spell checking
 - DNA analysis
 - Plagiarism detection

¹based on info from <http://people.cs.pitt.edu/~kirk/cs1501/Pruhs/Fall2006/Assignments/editdistance/Levenshtein%20Distance.htm>

Main steps (1/2)

1. Step 1

- Set n to be the length of s
- Set m to be the length of t
- If $n = 0$, return m and exit
- If $m = 0$, return n and exit
- Construct a matrix containing $0..m$ rows and $0..n$ columns

2. Step 2

- Initialize the first row to $0..n$
- Initialize the first column to $0..m$

3. Step 3

- Examine each character of s (i from 1 to n)

4. Step 4

- Examine each character of t (j from 1 to m)

Main steps (1/2)

1. Step 1

- Set n to be the length of s
- Set m to be the length of t
- If $n = 0$, return m and exit
- If $m = 0$, return n and exit
- Construct a matrix containing $0..m$ rows and $0..n$ columns

2. Step 2

- Initialize the first row to $0..n$
- Initialize the first column to $0..m$

3. Step 3

- Examine each character of s (i from 1 to n)

4. Step 4

- Examine each character of t (j from 1 to m)

Main steps (1/2)

1. Step 1

- Set n to be the length of s
- Set m to be the length of t
- If $n = 0$, return m and exit
- If $m = 0$, return n and exit
- Construct a matrix containing $0..m$ rows and $0..n$ columns

2. Step 2

- Initialize the first row to $0..n$
- Initialize the first column to $0..m$

3. Step 3

- Examine each character of s (i from 1 to n)

4. Step 4

- Examine each character of t (j from 1 to m)

Main steps (1/2)

1. Step 1

- Set n to be the length of s
- Set m to be the length of t
- If $n = 0$, return m and exit
- If $m = 0$, return n and exit
- Construct a matrix containing $0..m$ rows and $0..n$ columns

2. Step 2

- Initialize the first row to $0..n$
- Initialize the first column to $0..m$

3. Step 3

- Examine each character of s (i from 1 to n)

4. Step 4

- Examine each character of t (j from 1 to m)

Main steps (2/2)

5. Step 5

- If $s[i]$ equals $t[j]$, the cost is 0
- If $s[i]$ doesn't equal $t[j]$, the cost is 1

6. Step 6

- Set cell $d[i, j]$ of the matrix equal to the minimum of:

7. Step 7

- After the iteration steps (3, 4, 5, 6) are complete, the distance is found in cell $d[n, m]$

Main steps (2/2)

5. Step 5

- If $s[i]$ equals $t[j]$, the cost is 0
- If $s[i]$ doesn't equal $t[j]$, the cost is 1

6. Step 6

- Set cell $d[i, j]$ of the matrix equal to the minimum of:
 - The cell immediately above plus 1: $d[i - 1, j] + 1$
 - The cell immediately to the left plus 1: $d[i, j - 1] + 1$
 - The cell diagonally above and to the left plus the cost: $d[i - 1, j - 1] + \text{cost}$

7. Step 7

- After the iteration steps (3, 4, 5, 6) are complete, the distance is found in cell $d[n, m]$

Main steps (2/2)

5. Step 5

- If $s[i]$ equals $t[j]$, the cost is 0
- If $s[i]$ doesn't equal $t[j]$, the cost is 1

6. Step 6

- Set cell $d[i, j]$ of the matrix equal to the minimum of:
 - The cell immediately above plus 1: $d[i - 1, j] + 1$
 - The cell immediately to the left plus 1: $d[i, j - 1] + 1$
 - The cell diagonally above and to the left plus the cost:
 $d[i - 1, j - 1] + \text{cost}$

7. Step 7

- After the iteration steps (3, 4, 5, 6) are complete, the distance is found in cell $d[n, m]$

Example—Step 1 and 2

		t	a	f	e	l
	0	1	2	3	4	5
i	1					
t	2					
a	3					
f	4					
u	5					
l	6					
a	7					

Example—Steps 3-6 when $i = 1$

		t	a	f	e	l
	0	1	2	3	4	5
i	1	1				
t	2	1				
a	3	2				
f	4	3				
u	5	4				
l	6	5				
a	7	6				

Example—Steps 3-6 when $i = 2$

		t	a	f	e	l
	0	1	2	3	4	5
i	1	1				
t	2	1	2			
a	3	2	1			
f	4	3	2			
u	5	4	3			
l	6	5	4			
a	7	6	5			

Example—Steps 3-6 when $i = 3$

		t	a	f	e	l
	0	1	2	3	4	5
i	1	1				
t	2	1	2	3		
a	3	2	1	2		
f	4	3	2	1		
u	5	4	3	2		
l	6	5	4	3		
a	7	6	5	4		

Example—Steps 3-6 when $i = 4$

		t	a	f	e	l
	0	1	2	3	4	5
i	1	1				
t	2	1	2	3		
a	3	2	1	2	3	
f	4	3	2	1	2	3
u	5	4	3	2	2	
l	6	5	4	3	3	
a	7	6	5	4	4	

Example—Steps 3-6 when $i = 5$

		t	a	f	e	l
	0	1	2	3	4	5
i	1	1				
t	2	1	2	3		
a	3	2	1	2	3	
f	4	3	2	1	2	3
u	5	4	3	2	2	3
l	6	5	4	3	3	2
a	7	6	5	4	4	3

Example—Steps 3-6 when $i = 6$

		t	a	f	e	l
	0	1	2	3	4	5
i	1	1				
t	2	1	2	3		
a	3	2	1	2	3	
f	4	3	2	1	2	3
u	5	4	3	2	2	3
l	6	5	4	3	3	2
a	7	6	5	4	4	3

Example—Steps 3-6 when $i = 7$ and final

		t	a	f	e	l
	0	1	2	3	4	5
i	1	1				
t	2	1	2	3		
a	3	2	1	2	3	
f	4	3	2	1	2	3
u	5	4	3	2	2	3
l	6	5	4	3	3	2
a	7	6	5	4	4	3

Finalise the similarity problem

- implement the algorithm
- modify algorithm for different costs, according to specs
- check any loose ends; e.g.:
 - what if either m or n is empty?
 - what if $n > m$ (i.e., first string is longer than the second), or vv ?
 - does it work with numbers? (e.g.: `gr8` and `great`)

Wires

- 2014 finals problem (see printout), iirc, no-one solved it during the contest
- Type: not predominantly maths-y, not predominantly algorithmically, but seems to be a mix of both
- There isn't a readily available similar solution, though
- Use aforementioned methodological steps and solve first the 'what'-part, then the 'how', and go back to the what, if needed
- Conceptually non-trivial but not extremely hard; laborious to design and to implement

Wires: toward a solution

- Task: find the minimum amount of lines crossed
- What is the input like?
 - 2D plane with arbitrary sized and shaped closed and open line-delineated regions
- Gives two options to pursue:
 - Counting the lines crossed
 - Counting the regions traversed

Wires: toward a solution

- Task: find the minimum amount of lines crossed
- What is the input like?
 - 2D plane with arbitrary sized and shaped closed and open line-delineated regions
- Gives two options to pursue:
 - Counting the lines crossed
 - Counting the regions traversed

Wires: toward a solution

- Task: find the minimum amount of lines crossed
- What is the input like?
 - 2D plane with arbitrary sized and shaped closed and open line-delinated regions
- Gives two options to pursue:
 - Counting the lines crossed
 - Counting the regions traversed

Wires: toward a solution

- Task: find the minimum amount of lines crossed
- What is the input like?
 - 2D plane with arbitrary sized and shaped closed and open line-delinated regions
- Gives two options to pursue:
 - Counting the lines crossed
 - Counting the regions traversed

Wires: solution

- Traversing regions apparently more doable to implement
- Find a way to count the outer, unbounded, regions, e.g.:
 - Add an 'imaginary' line to close the region, and count crossing that line as 0
 - Make one new region comprising the outside option
- Creating and indexing the plane and crossings: a detailed 'how' at Bruce Merry's blogpost:
<http://blog.brucemerry.org.za/2014/06/icpc-problem-m-wires.html>
- Compute the shortest path

Wires: solution

- Traversing regions apparently more doable to implement
- Find a way to count the outer, unbounded, regions, e.g.:
 - Add an 'imaginary' line to close the region, and count crossing that line as 0
 - Make one new region comprising the outside option
- Creating and indexing the plane and crossings: a detailed 'how' at Bruce Merry's blogpost:
<http://blog.brucemerry.org.za/2014/06/icpc-problem-m-wires.html>
- Compute the shortest path

Wires: solution

- Traversing regions apparently more doable to implement
- Find a way to count the outer, unbounded, regions, e.g.:
 - Add an 'imaginary' line to close the region, and count crossing that line as 0
 - Make one new region comprising the outside option
- Creating and indexing the plane and crossings: a detailed 'how' at Bruce Merry's blogpost:
<http://blog.brucemerry.org.za/2014/06/icpc-problem-m-wires.html>
- Compute the shortest path

Wires: solution

- Traversing regions apparently more doable to implement
- Find a way to count the outer, unbounded, regions, e.g.:
 - Add an 'imaginary' line to close the region, and count crossing that line as 0
 - Make one new region comprising the outside option
- Creating and indexing the plane and crossings: a detailed 'how' at Bruce Merry's blogpost:
<http://blog.brucemerry.org.za/2014/06/icpc-problem-m-wires.html>
- Compute the shortest path

Panini World Cup Sticker book

- Not an ACM ICPC problem from recent years (or at all)
 - Description on printout
 - Identify type
 - Try to solve it
 - Solve it with maths or similarity to known problem
- ⇒ Probabilities (the maths) or the Coupon Collectors Problem

Panini World Cup Sticker book

- Not an ACM ICPC problem from recent years (or at all)
- Description on printout
- Identify type
- Try to solve it
- Solve it with maths or similarity to known problem

⇒ Probabilities (the maths) or the Coupon Collectors Problem

Panini World Cup Sticker book

- Not an ACM ICPC problem from recent years (or at all)
 - Description on printout
 - Identify type
 - Try to solve it
 - Solve it with maths or similarity to known problem
- ⇒ Probabilities (the maths) or the Coupon Collectors Problem

Scheduled training dates

- Aug 2: 9:30-15:30
- **Aug 16: 9:30-15:30**
- Aug 30: 9:30-15:30
- Sept 13: 9:30-15:30
- Sept 20 or 27: 9:30-15:30
- Date of the regionals: TBD (“some Saturday between mid Sept and end Oct”)